THE NOMENCLATURE OF THE GENUS BEGONTA

JACK GOLDING 47 Clinton Ave. Kearny, N. J. 07032

This is a review and interpretation of the portions of the International Codes of Nomenclature applicable to the names of the genus Begonia. Special emphasis is given to the names of hybrids and cultivars.

The rules for naming plants are set forth in The International Code of Botanical Nomenclature 1972 (ICBN). This Code governs the use of botanical names primarily of wild plants, and names in Latin of cultivated plants, and has a special section, Appendix I, for the names of hybrids.

The names of plants in cultivation with emphasis on natural and artificially created hybrids are governed by The International Code of Nomenclature for Cultivated Plants 1969 (ICNCP).

These nomenclature Codes were evolved by the diligent work of many experts and, while there is general agreement in the interpretations of their rules, there is no unanimity on all details. There even are some contradictions within the Codes and between them, particularly concerning collective epithets and nothomorphs. I have tried to make those interpretations that will best avoid confusion and provide stability with Begonia names.

The orthography and style of writing the names cited have been corrected as required by the rules of *The International Code of Botanical Nomenclature*. The original spelling or style used by the author is within parentheses following the corrected name.

DEFINITIONS

Species is the basic rank for the classification of wild plants. The characteristics of the individuals of this category, while variable, are still within recognizable limits that distinguishes them from all other units within a genus.

Plants of a species, including those of its infraspecific ranks, that are selfed (the pollen of a male flower applied to the stigma of a female flower

of the same species) produce offspring that are true (have characteristics within the same recognizable limits that distinguish the species). These offspring are not considered hybrids and are treated nomenclaturally at the same rank as their parents.

A variety is a subdivision of a species, with some minor characteristics that differ from other members of the species.

A form is a subdivision of a variety or species that differs from the other members usually by one characteristic.

A hybrid is the offspring of the sexual union of plants belonging to different taxa (taxonomic groups). The various derivatives from the same parents, or multiple parents of the same two taxa, are designated collectively as a hybrid population, hybrid group, or grex (flock).

A nothomorph is a botanical designation for wild or cultivated variant of an interspecific hybrid.

A *cultivar* is a selected *culti*vated *variety* of a plant (either species or hybrid), that is clearly distinguished by any character that is retained when it is reproduced, either sexually or asexually.

HIERARCHY

"Every individual plant is treated as belonging to a number of taxa of consecutively subordinate rank."

The *hierarchy* (all the categories of taxa arranged in order according to their ranks) for the names of <code>Begonia</code> (based on the Tippo system of classification) is the same for all plants through the rank of genus as follows:

RANK
Division
Subdivision
Class
Subclass
Order
Family
Genus

NAME Tracheophyta Pterospermae Angiospermae Dicotyledoneae Begoniales Begoniaceae Begoniaceae

Subordinate to the rank of Genus, the categories are different for a species, hybrid or cultivar.

SPECIES NAMES

For a species, the infrageneric categories are the ranks subordinate to Genus down to the rank of Species and may be: Subgenus, Section, Subsection, Series, Subseries. Of these, for Begonia, only the rank of Section has been used.

Infraspecific categories are the ranks subordinate to Species and may be Subspecies, Variety, Subvariety, Form and Subform. For Begonia, the ranks of Subvariety and Subform have not been used and Subspecies has been used very infrequently.

As an example, the hierarchy of the species Begonia (Lepsia) foliosa var. miniata would be as foll-OWS: NI A ME שואהם

| TATATA | 14 541,772 | | |
|---------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Genus | Begonia | | |
| Section | Lepsia | | |
| species | foliosa | (specific | epithet) |
| Variety | miniata | (varietal | epithet) |

A name at the rank of Form may be used in addition to (or instead of) a name at varietal rank.

These names in Latin are printed in a type face that stands out from the rest of the text; frequently this is accomplished by the use of italic type, (or of underlining in typescript). The genus and section names are capitalized, the others are lower-cased. specific or infraspecific epithet derived directly from the name of a person, may, under the ICBN, be capitalized. For uniformity, I prefer always to use lower case as recommended by the ICBN.

The names of the species may be written Begonia (Lepsia) foliosa HBK, but frequently, the section name, Lepsia, is omitted.

For accuracy as a bibliographical reference and for full identification, the name or abbreviated name of the author(s) who first validly published the species name is added after the specific epithet: e.g., Begonia foliosa HBK (abbreviation for Humboldt, Bonpland & Kunth).

But when the name of a variety is added, the name of the author(s) of the variety must be added, and the author (HBK) of the species epithet may be omitted, e.g., Begonia foliosa var. miniata Smith & Schubert.

Names at the rank of species, variety and form are used only for naturally occurring plants collected in the wild. But selected distinctive variants of these occurring in cultivation may be given a cultivar name.

HYBRID NAMES

Hybrids are assigned to taxa of two principal ranks, intergeneric [hybrid] and interspecific [hybrid].

An intergeneric hybrid is a hybrid between species of two or more genera and has the rank equivalent to genus. I do not know of any intergeneric hybrids of the Family Begoniaceae, so we are not concerned here with this category.

An interspecific hybrid is a hybrid between species (taxa of species rank) of the same genus, and has the same rank as species. I suggest the substitution of "taxa of species rank" for "species" in this definition because the ICBN provides that a hybrid has the same rank as its highest ranking parent. Therefore, an interspecific hybrid can be the derivative of a cross, not only between two species (e.g., Begonia crispula X Begonia dregei), but also between a hybrid and a species (e.g., Begonia Xfuscomaculata X Begonia heracleifolia), or between a species and a hybrid cultivar (e.g., Begonia hydrocotylifolia X Begonia 'Lenore Olivier').

BOTANICAL DESIGNATIONS OF HYBRIDS

Before the establishment of the ICBN, there was no universally accepted style for writing the names of hybrids. Many authors, as was the custom in their time wrote the hybrid names in Latin and did not distinguish them from the names of species.

The botanical names in Latin form for interspecifice hybrids and their derivatives are now governed by the ICBN. Such hybrids are designated either by a formula or a name. A formula consists of the names of the two parents connected by the multiplication sign (X) (e.g., Begonia olsoniae Smith & Schubert X Begonia sutherlandii Hooker f.) or the name of the genus followed by the specific epithets of the two parents connected by the same sign (e.g., Begonia olsoniae X sutherlandii). A formula is a statement of parentage; it clearly designates the entire hybrid population.

The sequence of the names or epithets in a formula may be either alphabetical or with the name or epithet of the female parent first, when it is known. With these optional methods of listing the parents it is not possible to be certain which is the female parent unless it happens to start with a higher initial letter. The ICBN also specifies that all derivatives from the same two species are designated by the same formula (even though the male and female parents are interchanged). For example, with the female parent listed first, the formula for cultivar Begonia 'Emeraude' is Begonia boliviensis X veitchii, and for the cultivar Begonia 'Topaze', it would be Begonia veit-chii X boliviensis. But they are derived from the same two species and must be designated by the same formula, making it impossible to list the female parent first for one of them. It is more logical and less confusing always to list the parents alphabetically.

The name of an interspecific hybrid is a binomial consisting of the name of the genus and a multiplication sign (X) preceding a single (one word) epithet; e.g., Begonia Xweltonensis J. B. Weber. This is the botanical name of the hybrid and must conform to the rules of the ICBN. When botanical names are used in naming hybrids, all descendants of crosses between individuals of the same parent species should have this same name. So the epithet would be considered the collective diesignation for the entire hybrid population and all the individuals within it.

But this is confusing if applied retroactively to the older botanical hybrid names. These epithets apparently were often based by their authors on one distinctive plant and primarily are used to designate only that individual. When these basic botanical hybrid names are alone (without the additions of a cultivar epithet) they often are used as the designation for the individual. Some typical examples of basic botanical hybrid names are:

- Begonia Xantonietae Brade, Rodriguesia 32:165, t7. 1957 (X Begonia Antonietae)
- Begonia Xerythrophylla Herincq, Rev. Hortic. Ser 3, 1:111. 1847 (Begonia erythrophylla)
- Begonia Xingramii Moore, Gard. Mag. Bot. 2: 153,t, 1850. (Begonia Ingramii)
- Begonia Xweltonensis J. B. Weber, Rev. Hortic. 47:105, 1875. (Begonia Weltoniensis)

FORMULAS, NOT EPITHETS

Designations consisting of the epithets of the parents combined in unaltered form by a hyphen, or with the termination of only one epithet changed, are considered to be formulas and not true epithets e.g., Begonia peltato-sanguinea A. Dietrich, Alleg. Gartenz. 15:283, 1847 which is not a true epithet but must be considered to be a formula meaning Begonia peltata Otto & Dietrich X Begonia sanguinea Raddi. Begonia manicata-dipetala Berol. is not a true epithet and must be considered to be a formula meaning Begonia manicata Brongniart X Begonia dipetala Graham. The correct binary name for this hybrid is Begonia Xwarscewitzii Herincq.

NOTHOMORPH

Nothomorph is a variant of an interspecific hybrid, that occurs either in the wild or in cultivation. It is designated by a botanical epithet in Latin and is subject to the rules of the ICBN. It has the same relation to a hybrid name that variety and form have to a species name.

Nothomorph is not the same as the category cultivar, which designates only cultivated variants.

An author has the option of designating a cultivated variant of a hybrid, either as a nothomorph by complying to the rules of the ICBN, or as a cultivar per the rules of ICNCP.

Under the ICBN, epithets subordinate to the binary name of a hybrid published before 1 Jan. 1975 at the other ranks (e.g., variety or form, which are to be used only for variants of natural species) are to be changed to the rank of nothomorph. e.g., Begonia Bunchii was described in 1914 by L. H. Bailey (Standard Cyclopedia of Horticulture) as a form of Begonia Xfeastii (Feastii) (a synonym of B. Xerythrophylla Herincq). The rank of variety or form must be transferred to nothomorph: Begonia Xerythrophylla nm. bunchii pro. var.

The hierarchy of the hybrid Begonia Xerythro-phylla nm. bunchii is:

RANK Genus Species(Interspecific hybrid) Nothomorph NAME Begonia erythrophylla bunchii

CULTIVAR NAMES

The full name of a cultivar consists of the botanical or common name at species rank and the cultivar epithet.

Cultivar epithets designate different cultivated variations of a population.

Since 1959, cultivar epithets are fancy names in modern language, markedly different from botanical epithets in Latin. The fancy name is distinguished clearly from the botanical or common name, either by placing the abbreviation cv. before it, or by enclosing it within single quotation marks, and by capitalizing each word of the epithet:

Begonia Xfuscomaculata cv. Reichenheim Begonia crispula X dregei 'Crispie' Begonia olsoniae X sutherlandii cv. Victoria Kartack

A cultivar epithet can be established by publishing in conformance with the rather simple rules of the ICNCP, or by the acceptance of the cultivar name by a registration authority and the inclusion of that name in a register. The international registration authority for Begonia is the American Begonia Society. Registration is not mandatory but is highly recommended.

Article 19 of the ICNCP states that a plant of an interspecific hybrid, when introduced into cultivation, must be given a cultivar name in addition to the collective epithet or formula, even if only one cultivar is known.

A formula is clearly a collective designation for the entire hybrid population so it is logical to designate selected individuals from this population by a cultivar epithet e.g.,

Begonia hydrocotylifolia X 'Lenore Olivier' cv. Clara Elizabeth

Begonia hydrocotylifolia X 'Lenore Olivier' Gertrude Nelson

As noted above, botanical hybrid names have been used to designate the individual upon which the author based the name. But by the addition of a cultivar epithet to a basic botanical hybrid name, it is transferred to a collective name for the entire hybrid population.

For example, John Seden crossed Begonia veitchii Hooker f. with Begonia boliviensis A. DC. One of the progeny was described and illustrated in Veitch's Catalogue of Plants: 2, fig, 1872 and given the name Begonia Xintermedia. This basic name Begonia Xintermedia is used to designate the individual plant described by Veitch.

From the same two species, L. Van Houtte developed hybrids that were described by him in Flores des Serres 19:26, 1873. They were given the French epithets Emeraude and Topaze. Since they are selected cultivated variants derived from the same two species, they take the same (now a collective) name Begonia Xintermedia plus a cultivar epithet, i.e. Begonia Xintermedia 'Emeraude' and Begonia Xintermedia 'Topaze'.

G. Legros in Revue Horticole, 66:247, t93 & t94, 1894, described and illustrated a Begonia with the epithet Bertinii (Bertini), but he did not know its origin. In an article, "L'origine du Begonia Bertinii" (Bertini) in Revue Horticole, 66:294, 1894, Monsieur Lemaitre explainted "The Begonia Bertinii (Bertini) is a cross-breeding of veitchii and boliviensis..." Therefore, this is also designated as a

cultivar, Begonia Xintermedia 'Bertinii'.

The example after Article 15 ICNCP implies that cultivar names can be abbreviated by the deletion of the epithet at species rank. For precision, when a cultivar epithet is appended to a botanical name, I recommend that the full name be used. For example, if the cultivar name <code>Begonia Xerythrophylla</code> 'Helix' was abbreviated, it would become <code>Begonia</code> 'Helix' and lose its identity as a cultivar of the interspecific hybrid <code>Begonia Xerythrophylla</code>.

COMMON NAMES

The common name for members of the genus <code>Begonia</code> in English is begonia. Various begonias have been given common names such as wax begonia, trout begonia and angel wing begonia. The same common name is often used for various plants, or the same plant may have different common names. It is best not to use common names to identify <code>Begonia</code>.

GROUP DESIGNATIONS

In addition to the designations of groups by botanical collective epithets, e.g., Begonia Xcheimantha Everett, Begonia Xhiemalis Fotsch, Begonia Xtuberhy-brida Voss,— a collective epithet may also be a word or phrase of not more than three words, in a modern language. Normally all derivatives from the same parental combination have the same collective epithet in a modern language, but custom has also established the use of these collective epithets to designate groups of Begonia with common horticultural characteristics.

Typical names of this type are:

Begonia Rex-Cultorum Hybrids Begonia Semperflorens-Cultorum Hybrids Begonia Tuberhybrida Hybrids

Other group designations are horticultural classifications used as convenient headings in books, catalogues, or to classify similar types of plants in a flower show. Typical groups of this type are: rhizomatous, stemmed, tuberous, semituberous, cane-like, shrub-like, etc.

These do not have any rank in the hierarchy of plant names and are not regulated by either Code. No attempt is made here to list all the potential group and subgroup designations, these are determined and used by other authors for their particular purposes.

ANNOTATED RULES FOR BOTANICAL NAMES OF HYBRIDS

Under Article 40 (ICBN), to be validly published, names of hybrids of specific or lower rank with Latin epithets must comply with the same rules as those for names of nonhybrid taxa of the same rank. These rules are:

- 1. The names must be effectively published by the general distribution of printed matter, or at least to botanical institutions with libraries accessible to botanists (Art. 29 ICBN). Publication by indelible autograph (handwirtten text that cannot be rubbed out), in a tradesman's catalogue, in nonscientific newspapers, and by printed matter accompanying exsiccata (dried specimens) is considered effective if it was before 1 Jan. 1953. Publication in a seed exchange list is effective before January 1973.
- 2. To be validly published, a name of a new plant taxon must be accompanied by a Latin description or diagnosis or by reference to a previously and effectively published Latin description or diagnosis of the taxon (Art. 36 ICBN).

Exceptions: (a) names published before 1 Jan. 1935 with a description or diagnosis in other languages. (b) a name published before 1 Jan. 1908 accompanied only by an illustration with analysis showing essential characters.

- 3. Publication of the name of a new taxon is valid only when the nomenclatural type is indicated [Art. 37 ICBN], except for names published before 1 Jan. 1958.
- 4. For the name of the taxon to be accurate and complete, it is necessary to cite the name of the author(s) (Art. 46 ICBN).

Under Article 50 of ICBN "When the status of a taxon bearing a binary name is altered from species to interspecific hybrid, or vice versa, the name of the original author must be cited, followed by an indication in parenthesis of the original status. A similar indication of original status must be given, when an infraspecific taxon is altered in status to nothomorph, or vice versa. If it is desirable or necessary to abbreviate such a citation, the indication of original status may be omitted (and usually is)."

For example, Begonia ricinifolia A. Dietrich (prosp.) was originally published as a species (Allg. Gartenz. 15:282, 1847) but was later determined to be a hybrid (Klotzsch, Begoniaceen: 95, 1855). Begonia Xweltonensis nm. alba hort. (pro. var.): originally alba was published as a variety of Begonia Xweltonensis but in accordance with the current rules, it is cited as a nothomorph.

ANNOTATED RULES FOR CULTIVAR NAMES

A cultivar epithet published on or after 1 Jan. 1959 must be a fancy name, except a valid botanical epithet in Latin form for a plant subsequently considered to be a cultivar is to be retained. But, it must be distinguished in the same way as a fancy name by being written in a different type than that used for species names (this is usually roman type), with the initial letter capitalized, and separated from the botanical name by the use of single quotes or the abbreivation cv.

Any cultivar name is legitimate when established in accordance with the articles of the ICNCP, or established by legal process, such as by entry in a statutory register (Art. 33).

Before 1 Jan. 1959, to be legitimate, a name must be published in printed matter distributed to the public (Art. 37). The name must be approved by the originator of the cultivar, or his assignee (Art. 41). The name must be for a cultivar that does, or did, exist (Art. 42).

After 1 Jan. 1959, to be legitimate, a cultivar name must also be:

Published in printed matter dated at least as to the year (Art. 38).

Accompanied by a description, or by reference to a previously published description as a cultivar or in any botanical category (Art. 39).

SUMMARY

Stability in the names of hybrids and cultivars of Begonia can be best maintained by observing the following recommendations:

Formulae should be used as collective designations:

Begonia olsonia X sutherlandii 'Victoria Kartack' Begonia dregei X 'Lenore Olivier' cv. Nancy Gail

Botanical names in Latin form based on an individual maybe the designation for that individual, except when followed by a cultivar epithet.

Begonia Xerythrophylla Herincq Begonia Xfuscomaculata A. Lange Begonia Xingramii Moore Begonia Xweltonensis J. B. Weber

The botanical rank, nothomorph, should be used for variants of a basic interspecific hybrid.

Begonia Xerythrophylla nm. bunchii Bailey Begonia Xweltonensis nm. alba hort.

Cultivar epithets designate the selected cultivated variants from a population, e.g., the following cultivars were all derived from the same parents designated by the formula Begonia hydrocotylifolia X 'Lenore Olivier': Begonia 'Clara Elizabeth', Begonia 'Gertrude Nelson', Begonia 'Lil O'Neil', Begonia 'Question Mark' and Begonia 'Posy Wahl'.

A cultivar name that includes a botanical name should not be abbreviated, e.g., Begonia Xerythro-phylla 'Helix'.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Gratefully I acknowledge all those who assisted with the preparation of this monograph.

Carrie Karegeannes for her editing, our many discussions and her probing questions that caused me to be more explicit with my interpretations.

Drs. Gilbert Daniels, Robert Read, Bernice Schubert and Stephen Spongberg for their critical review of my preliminary draft, their corrections and suggestions.

Drs. Fred A. Barkley, Jan Doorenbos, Mildred Mathias and Lyman B. Smith for their helpful comments.

The responsibility for the final determinations of the various interpretations of the Codes is mine.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bailey, L. H. 1914. Standard cyclopedia of horticulture. New York: Macmillan Co.
Baranov, A., & Barkley, F. A. 1974. The sections of

the genus Begonia. Boston: Northeastern University.

Barkley, F. A. 1972. The sections of the Begoniaceae. The Buxtonian 1, Suppl. 1.

---. 1972. Begoniaceae: the genera, sections and known species of each. The Buxtonian 1, 4.
---. 1975. Begonia studies. The Begonian 42: 83-87.

---, & Golding, J. 1974. The species of the Begon-iaceae. 2d ed. Boston: Northeastern University. ---, & Boghdan, K. S. 1975. Botanically speaking, what are the Begoniaceae? The Begonian 42: 296-300.

1957. Begoniaceae. Rodriguesia 32: Brade, A. C. 165-166, t.7.

Brilmayer, B. 1961. Belva Nelson Kusler-Begonia hybridist. The Begonian 28: 196-7, 205.
Buxton, B. R. 1932. Begonias and how to grow them.

Boston: Massachusetts Horticultural Society.
---. 1946. Begonias and how to grow them. New

York: Oxford University Press.

---; Carleton, E.; & Graham, A. 1957. Buxton check list of begonias. 2d ed. Los Angeles: American Begonia Society.

- ---. 1958.--- Supplement No. 1.

- Candolle, A. de. 1864. Begoniaceae, Prodromus 15, 1: 206-408. Paris.
- Chevalier, C. 1938. Les Begonias. Liege: Ecole Professionnelle.
- ---. 1975. Begonias, Chevalier. English translation by Alva G. Graham. California. Dietrich, A. 1847. Beschreibung von zwei neuen Be-
- gonien. Allgemeine Gartenzeitung 15, 36:281-283. Doorenbos, J. 1970. Check List of Begonia species.
- Wageningen.
- Everett, T. H. 1939, 1940. The collection of begonias grown at the New York botanical garden. Journal of the New York botanical garden 40.41.
- ---. 1941. A partial list of references to the literature on begonias compiled at the N. Y. botanical garden, unpublished.
- Fotsch, K. A. 1933, Die Begonien. Stuttgart: Eugen Ulmer.
- ---. 1939. Die Begonien. English translation by Hans Schlomk, unpublished.
- Gilmour, J.S.L., et al. 1961. International code of
- nomenclature for cultivated plants. Utrecht. Golding, J. 1972. The spelling of Begonia names. The Begonian 39: 4-7.
- ---. 1972-1974. What is a Begonia? Knickerbocker news.
- Graf, A. B. 1963. Exotica 3. Rutherford: Roehrs Co.
- ---. 1972. Exotic Plant Manual. 2d ed. East Ruth-
- erford: Roehrs Co. Graham, A. 1964. New begonias registered. The Begonian 31: 170.
- ---. 1966. 1966 registrations. The Begonian 33:236. Gray, E. K. 1931. Begonias. Pacific Beach, Cal.:
- E. K. Gray. Herincq, F. 1847. Observations sur trois especes de
- begonia et...Revue Horticole. Ser. 3, 1:111. Howard, R. A. 1961. Concerning the Registration of Cultivar Names.
- Humbolt, A. Bonpland, A.; & Kunth, C.S. 1825. Begoniaceae, Nova genera et species plantarum 7: 136-144.

Irmscher, E. 1960. Begoniaceae, schiefblattgewachse. Pareys blumengartnerei. 2d ed: 67-98, 838-9.

Jackson, B. D., et al. 1893-1974. Begonia in Index kewensis 1, Suppl. 1-15.

Jansson, C. A. 1966. Begonia Xlinquistii nov. hyb. hort. Acta horti gotoburgenis 28: 66-68.

Klotzsch, J. F. 1855. Begoniaceen-gattungen und arten. Berlin.

Kobuski, C. E. 1955. A revised glossary of the more common botanical and horticultural terms. Arnoldia 15: 25-44.

Krauss, H. K. 1947. Begonias for the american homes and gardens. New York: Macmillan Co.
Fanjouw, J., et al. 1952. International code of bot-

anical nomenclature. Utrecht.

1961. International code of botanical nomen-

clature. Utrecht.
---. 1966. International code of botanical nomen-

clature. Utrecht.

Lawrence, G. H. M. 1951. Taxonomy of vascular plants. New York: Macmillan Co.

---. 1955. An introduction to plant taxonomy. New

York: Macmillan Co.

---: 1955. What is a cultivar? Baileya 3: 171-181. Legros, G. 1894. Begonia Bertini. Revue horticole 66: 247-248.

---. 1894. L'origine du Begonia Bertini. Revue horticole 66: 294.

McVaugh, R.; Ross, R.; & Stafleu, F. A. 1968. An annotated glossary of botanical nomenclature. Utrecht.

Moore, T. 1850. Begonia Ingramii. Gardener's magazine of botany 2:153-4.

Pringle, J. S. 1973. The concept of the cultivar. The gardens' bulletin. 27: 13-27.

Schubert, B. G. 1974. Begoniales. Encyclopaedia britannica. 15th ed.: 801-4.

Smith, L. B., & Schubert, B. G. 1946. The Begon-iaceae of Colombia. Caldasia 4: 3-38, pts. 1-7; 77-107, pls.8-13;179-209, pls. 14-18.

---, & Read, R. 1975. Notes on Bromeliaceae 37.

Phytologia 30: 289-961 Spongberg, S. A. 1976. Some old and new interspecific Magnolia hybrids. Armoldia 36: 139-45.

- Stafleu, F. A. 1967. Taxonomic literature. Utrecht. ---, et al. 1972. International code of botanical nomenclature. Utrecht.
- ---, & Voss, E. G. 1975. Synopsis of the proposals on botanical nomenclature. *Taxon* 24: 201-237.
- Stearn, W. T. 1966. Botanical Latin. New York: Hafner Publishing Co.
- Thompson, M. L. & E. J. 1975. The Thompson Begonia Guide 1. Southampton, N.Y. E. J. Thompson.
- ---. 1975. ibid. 2 ---. 1976. ibid. 3
- U. S. Government Printing Office. 1967. Style Manual (abridged), rev. ed. Washington, D. C.
- University of Chicago Press. 1969. Manual of style. 12th ed. rev. Chicago.
- Veitch, J. H. 1906. Hortus veitchii. London:
 James Veitch & Sons.
 Van Houtte, L. 1873. Les Begonias nouveaux de
- Van Houtte, L. 1873. Les Begonias nouveaux de l'establissement Van Houtte. Flore des serres 19; 25-6.
- Voss, E. G. 1976. XII international botanical congress: mail vote and final congress action on nomenclature proposals. Taxon 25: 169-174.
- Watson, W. in Nicholson, G. 1884. Begonia. Dictionary of gardening: 169-179. London: L. Upcott Gill.
- ---. 1900. Begonia. Century supplement to the dictionary of gardening: 138-142. London: L. Upcott Gill.
- Weber, C.; & Dress, W. J. 1968. Notes on nomenclature of some cultivated begonias, Baileya 16: 42-72, 113-136.
- Weber, J. B. 1875. Begonia weltonensis, Revue horticole. 47: 105-7.
- Wynne, B. 1888. The tuberous Begonia, its history and cultivation. London: Gardening World.
- Yeo, P. F. 1974. Proposals to the Leningrad congress on the nomenclature of hybrids. *Taxon* 23: 677-684.
- Ziesenhenne, R. 1974. Registration of Begonia cultivars. The Begonian. 41:43-47.